

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,632	-	12/16/2003	Yeo-Hyung Yun	033494-010	2657
21839	7590	09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
		WECKER & MAT	NGUYEN, KIEN T		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3712	,

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_					
	10/735,632	YUN, YEO-HYUNG						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Kien T. Nguyen	3712						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement							
	701 election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	- 1						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form P1O-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	nts have been received. nts have been received in a	Application No						
application from the International Bure	•	Trocored in the Hational Stage						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.						
Attachment/s)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/16/03</u>. 	8) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/735,632

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 10, 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lau U.S. Patent 4,892,500.

Lau disclosed a bottle and connector assembly comprising at least one bottle (18) having an upper part forming a first liquid outlet mouth adapted to be sealed with a stopper, a lower part disposed on an opposite end of the bottle having a second liquid outlet mouth adapted to be sealed with a stopper, at least one connector member (plug) having at least a first connecting portion and a second connecting portion, the first and second connecting portions being connectable to either one of the first and second liquid outlet mouth (see column 4, lines 21-32) (applicant's claims 1, 7). Fig. 6 shows each of the at least one connector has more than two connecting portions, each connecting portion being connectable to either one of the first and second liquid opening mouths (applicant's claim 2). At least one subsidiary connector member (136, 138, 140), connector members, and bottles are adapted to be connected to form a three-dimensional structure as shown in Fig. 1 (applicant's claims 5, 6). The connector as shown in Figs. 4A-4C is linear (applicant's claim 10). Fig. 1 shows all of the structural features as set forth in claims 12-17 of the present application.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,632

Art Unit: 3712

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riordan U.S. Patent 6,105,812.

Riordan disclosed a bottle (10) forming a first liquid outlet mouth to be sealed with a stopper (32); a lower part having a second liquid outlet mouth to be sealed with stopper (32). The second outlet disposed on an opposite end of the bottle from the first outlet mouth. The second outlet mouth is disposed in a recess (25) in the lower part of the bottle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lau in view of Roark U.S. Patent 5,379,909.

It is noted that Lau does not specifically disclose the connecting portions each including a hole having an internal thread as set forth in these claims. However, Roark disclosed a bottle connector (Fig. 3) having a hole with an internal thread (10, 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the connector members of Lau with the internal thread as taught by Roark for the purpose of enhancing the connection between the bottles.

Claims 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lau in view of Riordan U.S. Patent 6,105,812.

Art Unit: 3712

It is noted that the bottle (18) of Lau failed to teach the second liquid outlet mouth is disposed in a recess in the lower part of the bottle. However, Riordan teaches a bottle having a second liquid outlet mouth disposed in a recess (25) in the lower part of the bottle. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bottle of Lau with the teaching of Riordan for the advantage of providing a smooth connection between the bottles.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The enclosed references are cited for interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Kien T. Nguyen // Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

Ktn